



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,886	02/02/2001	Garret Minakawa	50277-1639	8654
29989	7590 02/25/2004		EXAM	INER
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP			BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER	
	600 WILLOW STREET AN JOSE, CA 95125		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2126	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004 / 0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Du

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/775,886 MINAKAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 D	ecember 2003					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	<i>,</i> —					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the drawing and the drawing are also according to the drawing a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Art Unit: 2126

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by ELLARD (US 5,999,937).

As to claim 23, ELLARD teaches a method for converting code values between applications, the method comprising the steps of: storing first data (field processing database of an action database) that associates a plurality of code conversion rules (typical conversion commands for a particular application) with a field (field) defined by an application (col. 5, lines 55 – col. 6, line 4); receiving an application code (lower case characters) associated with the field (data field); selecting, based on the first data (field processing database), a single code conversion rule (TOUPPER command) from the plurality of code conversion rules (typical conversion commands); and converting the application code (lower case characters) based on the single code conversion rule (TOUPPER command) into a plurality of other codes (upper case characters) (col. 5, line 55 – col. 6, line 6; col. 7, lines 7-31).

Art Unit: 2126

As to claim 24, ELLARD teaches the converting includes converting the application code (lower case characters) into a plurality of other codes (upper case characters) specified by a user for the single code conversion rule (TOUPPER command converts lower case characters that a user entered in the input data set to upper case characters) (col. 5, line 55 – col. 6, line 4).

As to claims 25 and 26, reference is made to a computer readable medium that corresponds to the method of claims 23 and 24 and is therefore met by the rejection of claims 23 and 24 above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1-22 are allowed.
- 3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: All of the claims are allowable for at least the following reasoning: Some of the claims indicate that the conversion rules are stored based on a plurality of categories wherein the first data associates a category of a plurality of categories with conversion rules such that the inspecting determines the code conversion category first, and then the conversion rule. None of the prior arts of record teach the mapping of conversion rules to conversion categories as detailed in the claims. The remaining set of claims indicate that for a given field that exists a plurality of conversion rules stored as first data and for each conversion rule exist second data that associates a criteria for applying the conversion rule based on one or more other fields having zero or more other code

Art Unit: 2126

values. The system converts the application code stored in the field by selecting a single conversion rule after inspecting the first and second data. The prior art at best teaches that selection of a single conversion rule out of a plurality of conversion rules based on the first data. The reference does not effectively teach the inspecting of a second data in addition to the first data in order to determine a single conversion rule from a plurality of conversion rules as argued by Applicant in the response. Therefore, the cited claims are allowable.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2126

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lab

La a Relat Jr